

October 11, 2010

Bob Reesor Rockford Process Control 2020 Seventh Street Rockford, IL 61104

Dear Bob:

Woodwork Institute has received your product approval request and its accompanying documentation. The following products are in compliance with the Architectural Woodwork Standards' (AWS) minimum requirements:

RPC-Terry 74 series, Five Knuckle Institutional, Grade 1, 270° Opening Hinge RPC-Terry 76 series, Five Knuckle Institutional, Grade 1, 270° Opening Hinge

This product will be listed on Woodwork Institute's website (www.woodworkinstitute.com) under the approved product listing, which can be found in the My WI, Woodworkers & Suppliers section. Additionally, your product will be noted in the next issue of Details, our quarterly newsletter.

This letter, as well as the listing on the website and publication in Details, may be used as evidence of WI's acknowledgement of your product's compliance.

If we can be of further assistance, please call or contact us via e-mail.

Sincerely,

WOODWORK INSTITUTE

Stanley R. (Rob) Gustafson, CAE, CSI

CEO/Secretary

cc: Dennis Milsten, Chairman

DAS



Stork Twin City Testing Corporation

PROJECT NUMBER:

TCT004140P-2

PAGE:

1 of 4

DATE:

September 20, 2010

662 Cromwell Avenue Telephone Saint Paul, MN 55114

USA

Toll Free Telefax

Website

:(651) 645-3601 :(888) 645-TEST :(651) 659-7348 :www.storksmt.com

Investigative Chemistry Non Destructive Testing Metallurgical Analysis

Geotechnical Failure Analysis Materials Testing Construction Materials Product Evaluation Welder Qualification

ANSI/BHMA A156.9-2003 PERFORMANCE TESTING

*HINGE PERMANENT SET TEST *HINGE OPERATING LIFE TEST (P/N 76)

Prepared for: ROCKFORD PROCESS CONTROL Attn: Mr. Chuck Wilke 2020 Seventh Street Rockford, IL 61104

Client Purchase Order Number: 814310

Prepared By:

Kyle T. Hall

Engineering Technician

Tyle Mall

Product Testing Department

Reviewed By:

Mathew N. Botz **Project Manager**

Product Testing Department

(651) 659-7353

The test results contained in this report pertain only to the samples submitted for testing and not necessarily to all similar products.

This page alone is not a complete report.

Information and statements in this report are derived from material, information and/or specifications furnished by the client and exclude any expressed or implied warranties as to the fitness of the material tested or analyzed for any particular purpose or use. This report is the confidential property of our client and may not be used for advertising purposes. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of this laboratory. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punished as a felony under Federal Statues including Federal Law Title 18, Chapter 47,



Stork Twin City Testing Corporation

PROJECT NUMBER: TCT004140P-2

PAGE: 2 of 4

DATE: September 20, 2010

INTRODUCTION:

This report presents the results of ANSI//BHMA A156.9-2003 testing conducted on a pair of Model #76 hinges. Mr. Chuck Wilke of Rockford Process Control submitted the test samples. Testing was completed on September 20, 2010.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS:


Hinge (P/N 76) was tested in accordance with ANSI//BHMA A156.9-2003 Section 4.2 "Test 1 Hinge Permanent Set Test" and Section 4.3 "Test 2 Hinge Operating Life Cycle Test" and Successfully MET the requirements for a Grade 1 Hinge.

TEST METHODS:

Testing was conducted in accordance with ANSI//BHMA A156.9-2003 Section 4.2 "Test 1 Hinge Permanent Set Test" and Section 4.3 "Test 2 Hinge Operating Life Cycle Test" specifications. Details of the methodology used for the tests are available on the individual test data sheets at the end of this report.

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION:

The hinges were identified with Part Number 76. A separate pair of hinges were used for each test.



INSTRUMENTATION:

Vernier Caliper, MM 160-106 Transducer Techniques Load Cell and Indicator, MM 110-038

REMARKS:

The test specimens will be returned to the client.

F:\Product\MMFILES\MNB\2010 REPORTS

MNB\4140-Rockford Process-2doc.doc

This page alone is not a complete report.

Information and statements in this report are derived from material, information and/or specifications furnished by the client and exclude any expressed or implied warranties as to the fitness of the material tested or analyzed for any particular purpose or use. This report is the confidential property of our client and may not be used for advertising purposes. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of this laboratory. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punished as a felony under Federal Statues including Federal Law Tide 18, Chapter 47.





PROJECT NUMBER: TCT004140P-2

PAGE: 3 of 4

DATE: September 20, 2010

Section 4.2 Test 1 Hinge Permanent Set

ANSI//BHMA A156.9-2003 Section 4.2 "Test 1 Hinge Permanent Set Test"

Sample Identification:

Pair of hinges, P/N 76

Test Summary:

The purpose was to test the ability of the hinges to withstand abnormal loading. Vertical and horizontal measuring devices were positioned on the top of the door, opposite from the center of the hinge. An initial measurement of the elevation of the door was taken at 5° ±3° open position. A load of 160-pounds was applied to the door, then operated through 1 full cycle. The load was removed and the door was returned to the initial position and remeasured.

Acceptance Level:

The Horizontal and Vertical displacement shall not exceed 0.03 inches for Grade 1 hinges.

Test Results:

Hinge (P/N 76) met the test requirements.

The Vertical Set was **0.008 inches**.

The Horizontal Set was 0.011 inches.





PROJECT NUMBER: TCT004140P-2

PAGE: 4 of 4

DATE: September 20, 2010

Section 4.3 Test 2 Hinge Operating Life Test

ANSI//BHMA A156.9-2003 Section 4.3 "Test 2 Hinge Operating Life Test"

Sample Identification:

Pair of hinges, P/N 76

Test Summary:

The hinges were attached to a 40-lb test door per the specifications. Vertical and horizontal measuring devices were positioned on the top of the door, opposite from the center of the hinge. An initial measurement of the door elevation was taken at 5° ±3° open position. The door was then operated through 100,000-cycles. The door was returned to the initial position and remeasured.

Acceptance Level:

The maximum horizontal sag shall not exceed 0.02" and maximum vertical sag shall not exceed 0.03 inches for Grade 1 hinges.

Test Results:

Hinge (P/N 76) met the test requirements.

The Vertical Set was **0.010-inches**. The Horizontal Set was **0.007-inches**.